	Summary of Objection	Officer Response
1	Have received no written notice to inform us that speed bumps will be situated in front of our property although we believe other residents in the village have received notification.	The property was letter dropped with a copy of the public notice and plan. The hump will be position some 5metres north of the property boundary.
2	No plans of proposed placement of bumps are available to be seen on line.	Plans at present are not available on line but can be e-mailed, posted on request or viewed at the Customer Service Centre in Carmarthen.
3	No public notice to be seen in the village.	Public notices were placed within close proximity to the proposed location of the road hump and remained in place for the duration of the objection period
4	Speed restriction and warning signs on entry into the village are already in place.	The existing signs alone are not having the desired effect of slowing traffic and despite lengthy enforcement speeds have not been lowered to an acceptable level.
5	There is a bend in the middle of the village where many accidents have occurred often closing the road for a period of time. Shouldn't the bumps be placed on the approach to this bend to avoid accidents in the future? There are also side roads in the village – nowhere near our property, and entry from one of these roads can be difficult – slowing down traffic on the approach to this junction would be wiser move.	The highest speeds within the village have been recorded on the northern entry to village where the road hump is being proposed, other measures are being proposed on the approach at the bend in question.
6	 a) 2 police speed warning signs b) The name of the village c) Warning sign for cattle d) Double Bend sign e) Speed notification sign – flashing light (whether drivers will notice these and read all these signs at the same time is questionable, let alone notice a speed a speed bump) f) We sleep in the front bedroom of the bungalow and traffic at night already disturbs us. Lorries and cars braking to negotiate these proposed speed bumps would make matters worse. 	 a) The Police Speed Check area signs are to be removed. b) The village placename sign is to be removed from its current location and new one placed a more northerly point to create a gateway feature. c) & d) Cattle and Double Bend Warning signs to remain e) The Vehicle Activated Sign is to be removed as part of the scheme. f) Noise emissions may depend upon the way vehicles are driven, a passive style of driving, at a lower but constant speed, contributes to lower noise levels, however an aggressive style, with excessive braking and acceleration can give gives rise to a highly fluctuating noise level. The proposed hump is also not directly outside the property but situated approximately 5metres north of the property boundary
7	In view of the current financial restrictions are these proposals value for money.	 7. Speed enforcement by Dyfed Powys Police has been taking place for several years and with GoSafe now also enforcing a great deal of resource has been placed within this area which is not

	sustainable and does not have a sufficient impact on traffic speeds, instead an engineering solution is considered appropriate in the form of road hump which is envisaged will result in a reduction of up to 10mph in average traffic speeds. The measure will be funded by the Welsh Governments' Road Safety Grant and has been proven as value for money on other similar road safety schemes.
--	---